

1 THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR

2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 NORTHWEST ENVIRONMENTAL
11 ADVOCATES,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
15 COMMERCE, *et al.*,

16 Defendants.

CASE NO. C16-1866-JCC

MINUTE ORDER

17 The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable John C.
18 Coughenour, United States District Judge:

19 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's motion for leave to file a surreply brief
20 (Dkt. No. 37). Plaintiffs' believe their surreply is necessary to respond to new arguments in
21 Defendants' reply brief in support of their motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 35). Pursuant to Local
22 Civil Rule 7(g), a surreply must be "strictly limited to addressing the request to strike.
23 Extraneous argument or a surreply filed for any other reason will not be considered." The
24 plaintiff failed to move to strike such argument within the time permitted by Local Civil Rule
25 7(g). Plaintiff now seeks leave to file a surreply with extraneous argument. This Court finds that
26 good cause does not exist to grant this motion.

Plaintiff's motion is DENIED.

1 DATED this 12th day of September 2017.

2 William M. McCool
3 Clerk of Court

4 s/Tomas Hernandez
5 Deputy Clerk